

Credit Opinion: Electricity Supply Board (ESB)

Global Credit Research - 24 Apr 2015

Dublin, Ireland

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Issuer Rating	Baa1
Senior Unsecured MTN -Dom Curr	(P)Baa1
ST Issuer Rating -Dom Curr	P-2
ESB Finance Limited (ESB Finance)	
Outlook	Stable
Bkd Senior Unsecured	Baa1

Contacts

Analyst Phone Matthew Huxham/London 44.20.7772.5454

Niel Bisset/London Monica Merli/London

Key Indicators

[1] Electricity Supply Board (ESB)

	12/31/2014	12/31/2013	12/31/2012	12/31/2011	12/31/2010
FFO Interest Coverage	4.4x	4.5x	4.4x	4.5x	6.1x
Net Debt / Fixed Assets	52.7%	51.6%	52.8%	52.3%	49.8%
FFO / Net Debt	16.7%	20.0%	16.3%	15.8%	16.6%
RCF / Net Debt	11.7%	17.3%	15.0%	14.3%	14.6%

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. Source: Moody's Financial Metrics

Note: For definitions of Moody's most common ratio terms please see the accompanying <u>User's Guide</u>.

Opinion

Rating Drivers

High proportion of earnings from the price-regulated electricity transmission and distribution businesses supported by a consistent and transparent regulator

Material earnings contribution from higher-risk generation and supply businesses

A balanced and resilient power generation portfolio operating in the Irish Single Electricity Market (SEM)

Prudent financial policy means leverage will remain moderate despite significant capital expenditure programme

Corporate Profile

Electricity Supply Board (ESB) is the former incumbent vertically integrated electric utility in the Republic of Ireland (Rol, Baa1 stable), which holds leading market positions in power generation and electricity supply, as well as being the monopoly owner of electricity distribution and transmission networks across Rol and Northern Ireland.

The group's regulated businesses contributed the majority of the group's earnings. Across Rol and Northern Ireland, ESB's network assets (which include over 6,600km of transmission lines and 160,000km of distribution network) had a combined regulatory asset base (RAB) of approximately EUR9.0 billion as at the end of December 2014.

In addition, the group had a market share of 43% across the SEM during 2014 and served 1.5 million electricity and gas customers across Ireland, which equated to a 37% market share.

ESB is majority owned (95%) by the Government of Ireland through the Minister for Public Expenditure Reform (85%) and the Minister for Communications, Energy and Natural Resources (10%). The remaining 5% stake is held by an employee share ownership trust.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

ESB's Baa1 ratings reflect (1) the significant proportion of the company's earnings generated through its regulated transmission and distribution operations in the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland; (2) the low business risk profile of these businesses generating stable returns under well-established and transparent regulatory frameworks; and (3) the company's strong liquidity and access to a diversified range of funding sources.

However, the ratings also more negatively reflect (1) the relatively higher business risk profile of ESB's generation and supply business; (2) an increased level of uncertainty in the generation business resulting from the ongoing re-design of the Irish electricity market (the I-SEM reforms), which is due to be implemented by the end of 2017; and (3) the challenges associated with a large capital investment programme.

Notwithstanding the deterioration in the macroeconomic environment in Ireland during recent years and an increased debt burden following the 2010 acquisition of Northern Ireland Electricity (NIE, not rated), ESB has effectively managed the challenges associated with maintaining cash flow, key financial metrics and liquidity. Over the past three years, net debt to fixed assets has averaged just over 52% while funds from operations (FFO) to net debt has averaged just over 17%.

ESB's Baa1 issuer rating is at the same level as the Irish government bond rating and does not incorporate any uplift for potential support from its government shareholders.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

REGULATED BUSINESSES UNDERPIN FINANCIAL PROFILE; UPCOMING REPUBLIC OF IRELAND PRICE CONTROL WILL BE A KEY CREDIT DRIVER

Approximately 62% of the recurring 2014 earnings before depreciation and amortisation (EBITDA) was derived from the group's price-regulated transmission and distribution assets. Regulated revenues, cost allowances and operational incentives are subject to five-year-price controls imposed by regulators in RoI (Commission for Energy Regulation, CER) and in Northern Ireland (Utility Regulator, UR).

The Rol businesses, which in 2014 were responsible for around 77% of regulated EBITDA or just under 50% of recurring group EBITDA, face some uncertainty as they enter the price control process (PR4) for the next regulatory period, which will start in October 2015. We expect a draft determination to be published in the Summer, with a final determination in the Autumn. The CER has not published anything to-date which would suggest any material changes to the regulatory principles implemented in previous price controls or even the implementation of evolutionary reforms such as those introduced for recent energy network price controls in Great Britain (RIIO). We expect that the regulator will allow revenues at a level to allow ESB to recover an efficient level of costs for a five year regulatory period including a depreciation and an allowed return in relation to its Regulatory Asset Base (RAB) determined using the Capital Asset Pricing Model methodology.

Our expectation is that ESB will receive a settlement, which is more challenging than the current one, including a material capital expenditure plan (required to support the significant planned increase in installed onshore wind capacity) and an enhanced focus on cost efficiency. Material investments, which are still subject to regulatory/political uncertainty - such as the smart meter roll-out and the Republic of Ireland portion of the new North-South Interconnector, are likely to be subject to re-openers as and when they are agreed. We expect the

regulator to reduce the weighted-average cost of capital from the current 5.2% (pre-tax, real), reflecting the very low interest rate environment.

By contrast, ESB's Northern Ireland business (NIE), has good visibility about future cash flows until 2017 following the publication in April 2014 by the UK Competition and Markets Authority of its Final Determination in relation to the 2012-2017 period. A decision by the UR to adopt in future a methodology more similar to that used by the Office of Electricity and Gas Markets (Ofgem) in Great Britain would reduce regulatory risk and hence would be a credit positive.

MATERIAL EARNINGS CONTRIBUTION FROM HIGHER-RISK GENERATION AND SUPPLY BUSINESSES BUT ESB IS WELL-PLACED TO COPE WITH INCREASED COMPETITION AND CHANGING MARKET DYNAMICS

The majority of group earnings not derived from regulated network businesses are from ESB's power generation business (ESB Generation and Wholesale Markets), which we consider to be well diversified in respect of the SEM.

In the SEM, ESB has a power generation portfolio with a diverse fuel mix, which protects its earnings against shifts in commodity price dynamics which have in recent years resulted in higher running hours for coal-fired generation at the expense of plant fired by natural gas. The strong earnings contribution from its hydro, coal and peat assets have helped the portfolio to generate a stable source of earnings. The fact that ESB has suffered no impairments in relation to its SEM generating plant in recent years illustrates the relative stability of the market for power generation from fossil fuels compared to other Western European markets (such as Great Britain, France and Germany), even as the proportion of low or zero marginal cost renewable energy has increased materially over the last five years.

The capacity payment mechanism has been an important contributor to the stabilisation of generation earnings even as load factors for some of ESB's older gas-fired power plants have declined as they have been pushed out of merit. However, the level of potential remuneration under this mechanism is likely to fall from the end of 2017 as part of the re-design of the Irish electricity market (the I-SEM reforms), which is currently being designed in order for Ireland to achieve "market coupling" with Great Britain, part of EU Target Model, which envisages ever greater interconnection of national energy markets within the EU.

Based on the high-level design announced in September 2014, the aim of the I-SEM reforms will be to put in place new market frameworks which reduce overall system costs and reallocate those costs (principally between standby "capacity" and more active "flexibility") to reflect the changing shape of the market. As the former incumbent and with by far the largest market share, ESB could be vulnerable in the face of any attempts to increase competition. However, we expect that the very complexity of the reforms could benefit larger players. These will include, inter alia, the creation of new physical power markets; a self-balancing requirement and new forms of financial hedging.

KEY CREDIT METRICS WILL DETERIORATE FURTHER IN 2015 BEFORE REBOUNDING

ESB's key credit metrics - in particular funds from operations (FFO) to net debt - deteriorated in 2014, with the latter falling from 20% in 2013 to 16.7%. This was driven in part by the impact of lower power prices, reduced availability at the Moneypoint coal plant and the non-recurrence of certain one-offs from 2013.

We expect key metrics to weaken further in 2015 driven mainly by the continued debt-funded construction of the new 880 megawatt combined cycle gas-fired plant at Carrington, near Manchester. The plant is due to commence commercial operations in early 2016 at which point the impact of the project on group credit metrics should turn positive as it starts to generate earnings (we estimate around EUR50-60 million EBITDA per annum) and repay associated debt.

SPECIAL DIVIDENDS NOW FULLY PAID; PRUDENT FINANCIAL POLICY WILL TARGET A MODERATE LEVEL OF LEVERAGE

In January 2015, ESB completed the payment of EUR421 million of special dividends to its shareholders, which it agreed in 2013 to fund through the sale of non-core assets. The final tranche was partially funded by a drawdown of ESB's credit facilities rather than, as originally envisaged, through the sale of the peat-fired generation assets at West Offaly and Lough Ree.

We expect the Irish government to act in a flexible approach and to support ESB in its explicit aim to strengthen its positioning within the current Baa1/BBB+ rating category. To this end, we expect the company to remain

moderately levered (with net debt to fixed assets below 60%) for the foreseeable future despite what we expect to be a material capital investment programme agreed with the CER as part of the Rol networks price control. The company will need regular access to capital markets to fund what we expect to be around EUR1 billion capital outlay per annum.

Liquidity Profile

We consider ESB to have a sound liquidity position. As at 31 December 2014, the company reported approximately EUR144 million of cash and cash equivalents and in January 2015 extended its core committed revolving credit facility out a further five years with a quantum of EUR1.44 billion.

We estimate that if ESB will have sufficient liquidity through cash, committed facilities and more than EUR1.4 billion of retained cash flow to fund approximately EUR1.9 billion of capital expenditure and EUR500 million of debt amortisations and maturities across 2015-2016 if it did not have access to capital markets or other sources of funding not already committed.

Following the restructuring of its index-linked swaps in 2014 and the 2015-2016 maturities, maturity concentration risk will heighten with around EUR2 billion falling due in 2017-2019.

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that ESB will maintain credit metrics with our guidance for the Baa1 rating level with funds from operations (FFO) interest cover of above 3.5x and FFO to net debt of at least the midteens.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Upward rating pressure could materialise if (1) no material negative consequences arise from the review of the SEM market design and/or ESB demonstrates its ability to reduce downside risks resulting from the changing dynamics in the generation market; and (2) ESB's credit metrics improve such that FFO interest cover and FFO / net debt are expected to be persistently above 4.5x and 20%, respectively.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Downward rating pressure could arise from (1) downward movement in the Government of Ireland's rating by more than one notch; (2) a material debt-funded acquisition or capital investment that eroded ESB's financial flexibility; (3) material unfavourable changes in the regulatory framework in Ireland; (4) an increase in the proportion of non-regulated activities within ESB's business mix; (5) a deterioration in the group's credit metrics such that they were expected to remain persistently below the guidance.

Other Considerations

RATING LOWER THAN GRID-IMPLIED RATING REFLECTS RISKS NOT EXPLICITLY FACTORED INTO RATING GRID

Our rating assessment of ESB is based on our methodology for Regulated Electric & Gas Networks, published in November 2014.

Whilst the methodology grid maps ESB to an A2 based on three years of historic metrics and an A3 based on our forward view of financial metrics, the assigned rating of Baa1 is lower. This is because the grid does not specifically take into account the additional risk compared to peers rated under the methodology posed by the material contribution to group earnings from unregulated businesses, such as power generation and electricity supply.

GOVERNMENT-RELATED ISSUER METHODOLOGY CONSIDERATIONS

As a result of the fact that ESB is 95%-owned by the Irish government, we also consider ESB's rating according to our methodology for Government-Related Issuers, published in October 2014. In view of this and the critical importance of ESB's assets to the Irish state, we consider there to be a clear linkage between the credit profile of the company and that of the Irish government. In recent years, ESB's regulated network earnings justified a rating one notch higher than that of the Irish government however, such a differential is not appropriate at the current Baa1 rating level given the baseline credit assessment of baa1. A downgrade of ESB's ratings would be unlikely in the event of a one notch downgrade in the rating of the Irish government. Similarly, a one notch upgrade in the

rating of the Irish government is unlikely to result in an upgrade of ESB's ratings.

Rating Factors

Electricity Supply Board (ESB)

Regulated Electric and Gas Networks	Current FY	
Industry Grid [1][2]	12/31/2014	
Factor 1 : Regulatory Environment and	Measure	Score
Asset Ownership Model (40%)		
a) Stability and Predictability of		Aa
Regulatory Regime		
b) Asset Ownership Model		Aa
c) Cost and Investment Recovery		Α
(Ability and Timeliness)		
d) Revenue Risk		Aa
Factor 2 : Scale and Complexity of Capital		
Program (10%)		
a) Scale and Complexity of Capital		Baa
Program		
Factor 3 : Financial Policy (10%)		
a) Financial Policy		Baa
Factor 4 : Leverage and Coverage (40%)		
a) FFO Interest Coverage (3 Year Avg)	4.5x	Α
b) Net Debt / Fixed Assets (3 Year Avg)	52.6%	Α
c) FFO / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)	17.6%	Baa
d) RCF / Net Debt (3 Year Avg)	14.6%	Α
Rating:		
Indicated Rating from Grid Factors 1-3		A2
Rating Lift		0
a) Indicated Rating from Grid		A2
b) Actual Baseline Credit Assessment		baa1

[3]Moody's 12-18 Month Forward ViewAs of 4/14/2015	
Measure	Score
	Aa
	Aa
	Α
	Aa
	Baa
	Baa
3.8x - 4.2x 56% - 58% 15% - 17% 9% - 11%	A A Baa Baa
	A3 0 A3 baa1

Government-Related Issuer	Factor	Factor
a) Baseline Credit Assessment	baa1	baa1
b) Government Local Currency Rating	Baa1	Baa1
c) Default Dependence	High	High
d) Support	Moderate	Moderate
e) Final Rating Outcome	Baa1	Baa1

[1] All ratios are based on 'Adjusted' financial data and incorporate Moody's Global Standard Adjustments for Non-Financial Corporations. [2] As of 12/31/2014; Source: Moody's Financial Metrics [3] This represents Moody's forward view; not the view of the issuer; and unless noted in the text, does not incorporate significant acquisitions and divestitures

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on http://www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.